IN THE FEDERAL SHARIAT COURT

Appellate

Jurisdiction

PRESENT

Mr.Justice Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman CHIEF JUSTICE Mr.Justice Mir Hazar Khan Khoso Judge

JAIL CRIMINAL APPEAL No.191/L of 1991

Mohammad Siddique son of Dogar, Caste, Changar, resident of Mohallah Sharif Pura, Gojra, District, Toba Tek Singh. Appellant

. Versus

The State

Respondent

Counsel for the appellant

Sardar Muhammad Ghazi,

Advocate.

Counsel for the State

Mr. Muhammad Aslam Uns,

Advocate.

No.date of FIR & Police Station

--

No.13/89 dt:17-1-1989 P.S.City Gojra.

Date of the order of trial Court

•

12-2-1991

Date of institution of appeal

--

13-7-1991

Date of hearing

23-2-1992

Date of decision

23-2-1992

JUDGMENT

DR.TANZIL-UR-RAHMAN, CHIEF JUSTICE. -- This Jail Criminal Appeal arises out of judgment dated 12-2-1991, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Toba Tek Singh Camp at Gojra, whereby he convicted the appellant under Article 4 of the Prohibition (Enforcement of Hadd) Order, 1979 (hereinafter referred to as 'the said Order') and sentenced him to life imprisonment with twenty stripes and a fine of Rs.100000/- (one lac). In default of payment of fine the appellant to further undergo R.I., for five years.

The facts arising out of the above appeal, briefly stated, are that a police party headed by Malik Muhammad Khan, Inspector, P.W.1, on receipt of information arranged for a raid at the house of Sadiq Changar while the said Sadiq Changar, his mother Mst. Sardaran and the appellant were found on the street outside their house selling heroin. and his mother on seeing the police party slipped away leaving Sadiq there a bag containg 250 grams of heroin. The appellant also tried to escape but was apprehended by the said police raiding party and on his search a polethine bag containing 1000 grams was recovered from the right pocket of his salooka (vest). The said bag of heroin Exh.P.1, recovered from the possession of the appellant was taken into possession and recovery memo Exh.PA was prepared which was attested by Ghazanfar Abbas, S.I. and Muhammad Iqbal, ASI. One gram of heroin was taken out of it and a sample sent to the office of the Chemical Examiner through Syed Haider Abbas, Constable, for its onward transmission to the office of the Chemical

Examiner on 31-1-1989. On receipt of Chemical Examiner's report Exa.PB in positive a challan against the appellant was submitted in the Sessions Court.

- 3. The prosecution in all examined five witnesses who have fully supported the prosecution case. The statements of the appellant under sections 342 Cr.P.C., as well as 340(2) Cr.P.C., were also recorded. No defence witness was, however, examined by the appellant.
- 4. We have heard Mr.Sardar Muhammad Ghazi, learned counsel fot the appellant, appointed by the Court, as it is an appeal from Jail and Mr.Muhammad Aslam Uns, learned counsel for the State and also perused the record.
- 5. Mr.Ghazi, submits that the police let off the other accused namely, Siddique and his wife Mst.Sardaran and apprehended the appellant falsely. The submission is belied by the F.I.R. itself which for the sake of convenience is reproduced as under:-

"امروز میں مع غضنفرعباس انسپکٹر، محمد اقبال ایےایس آئی ہانورعلی ایچ سی/۳۲۷ محمد بوٹا،مقصود احمد انورعلی ایچ سی/۳۲۷ محمد صدیق ۱۳۲۷، محمد صدیق ۱۳۲۵، محمد رفیق ۱۵۷، غلام دستگیر بشیر احمد ۱۵۱۵، کنسٹیبلان بسلسلة گشت اٹا لاریاں گوجرة موجود تھا کة مخبر نے اطلاع دی کة محلة شریف پورة میں صادق چنگڑ وغیرة هیروئین فروخت کررھے تھیں ۔ جس پر میں نے ریٹنگ پارٹی تیار کرکے معمد معمرایاں صادق چنگڑ کے مکان پرریٹ کیا توگلی میںصادق چنگڑ ولد شفیع چنگڑ ۔ محمدصدیق ولد ٹوگرجنگڑ،مسمات سرداراں رُوجة شفیعچنگڑ

هیروئین فروخت کنان موجود پائے۔ محمدصدیق مذکور کو باامداد همرائیاں قابو کر لیا گیا۔ جسنے بوقت جامة تلاشی شور عل مچانا شروع کردیا ،جس پر محلة کیے کافی مرد و زن وبچگان اکٹھے هوگئے۔ اس جمگهٹ سے فائدہ اٹھاتے هوئے، محمدصادق چنگڑ لفافة مومی معمولة هيروئين ٢٥٠ گرام كو اور مسماة سرداران مذكورية ايك لفافة مومی معمولة هیروئین ورنی ۲۵۰ گرام کو موقع پر چهور کر بھاگ جانے میں کامیاب ہوگئے۔ محمدصدیق کی حامة تلاشی پر سلوکة کی سامنے والی دائیں جیب سے ایک لفافة مومی معمولة هیروئین وزنی ١٠٠٠ گرام برآمد هوئی۔ جو اس میں سے ایک گرام بطور نمونة نکال کر نمونة وبقایا هیروئین کے پارسل سربمہراسمیایم/سی تیار کر کے بدریعة فرد قبضة پولیس میں لی گئی هیے۔ هیروئین واگزاشتة صادق چنگڑ ،سرداراں کے لفافة جات کو علحیدة علحیدة بدریعة فردات قبضة پولیس میں لیا گیا۔ اور علیحدہ علیحدہ استعاثہ جات مرتب کرکر ارسال تھانة ھونگے۔ محمد صدیق ملزم نے ھیروئین برائے فروخت قبضة میں رکھ کر جرم زیردفعہ ۳/۳ امتناع منشیات آرڈینینسکا ارتکاب كياهم لهذا استفائة هذا بمراد قائمي مقدمة بدست بشير احمد ٥١٥ ارسال تھانة ھے حقدمة درج رجسٹر كركے نمبرمقدمة سے اطلاع دی جائے حمیں مصروف در پافت هو تاهوں ـ

6. Learned counsel further submits that no recovery of heroin is established from the possession of the appellant. The reliance is placed by him on the statement of the appellant that no heroin was recovered from him.

The plea is unfounded. It seems pertinent to reproduce the entire statement made on oath by the appellant:-

"I was personal servant of Mst.Sardaran and Mohammad Sadiq, accused in other cases of heroin. Police conducted a raid and recovered heroin P.1 and some other from the possession of the said persons and wrongly planted upon me. I ran away at the sight of police party to save myself but I was apprehended by the Police.

XXX by A.D.A

I remained the servant of Mst.Sardaran and Muhammad Sadiq fro about one year. It is correct that Sardaran accused used to bring heroin from outside and to hand over the same to Mohammad Sadiq for selling it out. I did not produce any witness in my support, during investigation, before the police. It is incorrect to suggest that heroin P.1 was recovered from me."

- 7. From the above statement, besides the prosecution evidence it stands proved that the appellant had been indulging in narcotics'trade as servant of Sadiq and Mst.Sardaran against whom the separate cases under F.I.R.No.14 and 15 were registered on the same day. It is also proved that the appellant was present on the day, time and place of occurrence. It is admitted by the appellant himself that he ran away on seeing the police party to save himself but he was apprehended by the police and thus his attempt to slip away from the scene of occurrence was foiled.
- 8. As regards the recovery it stands proved by the prosecution witnesses whose evidence could not be shaken in cross-examination. We would, therefore, uphold the conviction of the appellant.
- 9. Learned counsel lastly prayed for reduction of sentence. He referred to a case wherein this Court had upheld the conviction under Article 4 of the said Order and sentenced to five years' R.I., for recovery

-6-

a. or which morrow or

of 500 grams of heroin. Learned coursel for the State submits that the life.

imprisonment seems to be harsh in the case and the ends of justice would

be met if the sentence is reduced to ten years' R.I. In our opinion the

mere quantity of contraband article involved in the case should not be the

yardstick for the quantum of punishment. All attending circumstances

of the case have to be taken into consideration. In the instant case

the appellant is shown to be a servant of some drug-traffickers who

were challaned separately. We would, therefore, reduce the sentence from

life imprisonment to ten years' R.I. The other sentences will remain intact.

10. Learned counsel further requests for the benefit of section

382-B Cr.P.C. Since we have reduced the sentence we do not think it

proper to grant benefit of section 382-B Cr.P.C.

11. Before parting with the case we would like to bring this fact

on record that Abdul Hafeez, A.S.I., P.S.Gojra, who is present in Court

has submitted in writing that in F.I.R.No.14 and 15 dated 17-1-1989,

P.S.City Gojra under Articles 3/4 of the said Order, Muhammad Sadiq

son of Muhammad Shafi and Mst. Sardaran have also been convicted and

sentenced by the learned Additional Sessions Judge by separate judgment

dated 12-2-1991.

(Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman)

Chief Justice

Approved for reporting

(Mir Hazar Khan Khoso)

Judge

CHIEF JUSTICE